

Appl. No 10/761,756

Amdt. Dated

Reply to Office action of 10/15/2004

5

REMARKS

The Examiner pointed out that claims 1 is rejected as being anticipated by Hsieh and claims 2-5 are rejected as being unpatentable over Hsieh, and the Examiner further pointed out that claim 6 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if
10 rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. In response to this, claims 3 and 6 have been combined into claim 1 so that the amended claim 1 is thought to be allowable, and claim 4 has been changed to be dependent upon the amended claim 1. Furthermore, claims 2 and 5 have been cancelled.

15 In view of the foregoing amendments and arguments, applicant submits that the application is now in a condition for allowance and such action is respectfully requested. If any points remain in issue, which the Examiner feels could best be resolved by either a personal or a telephone interview, he is urged to contact Applicant's attorney at the exchange listed
20 below.

Applicant respectfully request that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

By: Charles E. Baxley

Charles E. Baxley

Attorney of Record

USPTO Reg. 20, 149

90 John Street, Third Floor

New York, New York 10038

Telephone 212-791-7200

Facsimile 212-791-727

5

10